



1 On August 23, 2011, the United States filed *United States v. Walter Liew and Christina*  
2 *Liew*, No. CR-11-0573-RS. On February 7, 2012, the United States filed a superseding  
3 indictment in said action. *Id.* at Docket # 64.

4 DuPont's Position: The superseding indictment alleges that defendant Walter Liew, his  
5 wife, Christina Liew, and several other defendants violated multiple federal trade secret and  
6 economic espionage laws when they stole – and utilized – the trade secrets at issue in this action.  
7 *Inter alia*, Mr. Liew is charged with Conspiracy to Commit Economic Espionage, Conspiracy to  
8 Commit Theft of Trade Secrets, Possession of Trade Secrets, Conveying Trade Secrets, Witness  
9 Tampering, and False Statements. *See id.* ¶¶ 16-97. In addition, the superseding indictment  
10 identifies five DuPont trade secrets relating to its TiO<sub>2</sub> technology at issue in the criminal action.  
11 *Id.* ¶ 14.

12 USAPT's Position: Defendants believe that the superseding indictment speaks for itself,  
13 and no further explanation or commentary is appropriate or needed.

14 On September 7, 2011, this Court issued an Order relating the criminal proceeding with  
15 this action, pursuant to its determination that this action and the criminal proceeding are related  
16 within the meaning of Crim. L.R. 8-1(b). (Docket # 42.)<sup>1</sup>

17 On September 23, 2011, the parties filed a joint status report requesting that the stay  
18 initially entered on July 22, 2011 (Docket # 39), be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket  
19 # 44.) On September 29, 2011, the Court granted the parties' request. (Docket # 45.)

20 On November 23, 2011, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that  
21 the stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 46.) The Court granted the parties'  
22 request on November 29, 2011. (Docket # 48.)

23 On January 24, 2012, the parties filed an additional joint status report requesting that the  
24 stay be extended for an additional 60 days. (Docket # 49.) The Court granted the parties' request  
25 on January 31, 2012. (Docket # 50.)

26

27 <sup>1</sup> On September 16, 2011, DuPont dismissed without prejudice defendant John Liu pursuant to  
28 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1). (Docket # 43.) Thus, the only remaining defendants in  
this action are Walter Liew and his company, USA Performance Technology Inc.

1           The undersigned counsel request that the stay remain in place for an additional 60 days, at  
2   which time the parties will update the Court.

3           Dated: March 26, 2012

GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP  
CLEMENT L. GLYNN  
MORGAN K. LOPEZ  
JONATHAN A. ELDREDGE  
One Walnut Creek Center  
100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

7           MORRIS JAMES LLP  
P. CLARKSON COLLINS, JR.  
JASON C. JOWERS  
500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500  
Wilmington, DE 19801

10           By /s/ Morgan K. Lopez  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

11           Dated: March 26, 2012

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.  
DANIEL S. MOUNT  
ON LU  
KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI  
RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650  
333 West San Carlos Street  
San Jose, CA 95110-2740

15           By /s/ Daniel S. Mount  
Attorneys for Defendants USA Performance  
Technology, Inc., and Walter Liew

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Having read and considered the Joint Status Report,

**IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

The parties' request that the stay be extended until May 31, 2012 is hereby GRANTED.

Counsel shall submit a joint status report on or before May 24, 2012.

March 27, 2012

  
Honorable Jeffrey S. White  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE